DECISION-MAKER:		PLANNING RIGHTS OF WAY PANEL					
SUBJECT:		OBJECTION TO TREE PRESERVATION ORDER AT 10 ABERDOUR CLOSE, HAREFIELD					
DATE OF DECISION:		25.10.2016					
REPORT OF:		HEAD OF ADULTS, HOUSING AND COMMUNITIES					
CONTACT DETAILS							
AUTHOR:	Name:	Gary Claydon-Bone	Tel:	023 8083 3005			
	E-mail:	Gary.claydon-bone@southampton.gov.uk					
Director	Name:	Paul Juan	Tel:	023 8083 3005			
	E-mail:	Paul.juan@southampton.gov.uk					

STATE							
NONE							
BRIEF SUMMARY							
A Tree Preservation Order (TPO) was placed on a single mature Beech tree in the rear garden of 10 Aberdour Close. An objection has been received from a neighbouring property.							
	The tree has visual amenity from Aberdour Close and provides environmental and ecological benefits to the wider community. (Appendix 4)						
RECOM	MENDA	TIONS:					
	(i)	To confirm The Southampton (10 Aberdour Close) Tree Preservation Order 2016 without modifications. (Appendix 1)					
REASC	NS FOR	REPORT RECOMMENDATIONS					
1.	The tree is valuable for public amenity and the local street scene. It is also provided benefits for the local ecology, environmental air quality, visual screening and greening of the landscape.						
ALTER	NATIVE	OPTIONS CONSIDERED AND REJECTED					
2.	To not confirm this Order. This would not offer the legal protection which is considered prudent for the future reasonable retention and management of the trees						
DETAIL	_ (Includi	ng consultation carried out)					
3.	The order was made after a site visit to assess an application for a rear extension of the property was completed. At the time of this visit, the tree owner informed me that they intended to have the mature Beech tree felled.						
4.	The order was served on the 21 st of September 2016 to 10 Aberdour Close along with four neighbouring properties						
5.	An objection was received on the 6 th of October 2016 by the residents of 9 Aberdour Close on the basis that the tree cast shade over their garden and that the tree should be allowed to be removed or reduced in size to allow light						

	through to their garden. (appendix 2)					
6.	An email was sent to the residents of number 9 on the 14 th of October with my reasoning for the making of the tree preservation order along with further information on how they can apply for work on the Beech tree. (Appendix 3)					
7.	An email was received from the resident of number 9 Aberdour Close on the 16 th of October reiterating their issues regarding their neighbour's tree and shade. Within this email, the residents formally objected to the tree preservation order.					
8.	An email was sent to the residents of 9 Aberdour Close informing them of the intention to submit their objection to a planning & rights of way panel meeting.					
9.	As of 11:30am on the 17 th of October 2016, no further objections have been received and no applications for work have been submitted.					
RESO	URCE IMPLICATIONS					
<u>Capita</u>	I/Revenue					
11.	Cost will be those associated with the administration of confirming the Order and administration of any subsequent applications made under the Order.					
Prope	rty/Other					
12.	If the order is confirmed, compensation may be sought in respect of loss or damage caused or incurred in consequence of the refusal of any consent required under the TPO or of the grant of such consent which is subject to condition. However, no compensation will be payable for any loss of development or other value of the land, neither will it be payable for any loss or damage which was not reasonably foreseeable.					
LEGA						
<u>Statut</u>	ory power to undertake proposals in the report:					
13.	In accordance with the Constitution, the officer has delegated power to make, modify or vary, revoke and not confirm Tree Preservation Orders under Sections 198 and 201 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990; and to confirm such orders except where valid objections are received. If objections are received then the Planning and Rights of Way Panel are the appropriate decision making panel to decide whether to confirm the order or not.					
<u>Other</u>	Legal Implications:					
14.	The making or confirmation of a Tree Preservation Order could interfere with the right of the property owner peacefully to enjoy their possessions but it can be justified under Article 1 of the First Protocol as being in the public interest (the amenity value of the trees, tree groups and woodlands) and subject to the conditions provided for by law (the Town and Country Planning Act 1990) and by the general principles of international law.					
POLIC	Y FRAMEWORK IMPLICATIONS					

KEY DE	CISION?	Yes/No						
WARDS/COMMUNITIES AFFECTED:								
SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION								
Append	Appendices							
1.	The Southampton (10 Aberdour Close) Tree Preservation Order 2016							
2.	Objection email from 9 Aberdour Close							
3.	Email to 9 Aberdour Close detailing reasons why the order was made							
4.	Beech tree as seen from public area on Aberdour Close							
Documents In Members' Rooms								
1.	NONE							
Equality	Equality Impact Assessment							
Do the implications/subject of the report require an Equality Impact Assessment (EIA) to be carried out.			ality Impact	No				
Privacy	Impact Assessme	nt						
Do the implications/subject of the report require a Privacy Impact			cy Impact	No				
Assessment (PIA) to be carried out.								
Other Background Documents								
Equality Impact Assessment and Other Background documents available for inspection at:								
			Informati 12A allov	Relevant Paragraph of the Access to Information Procedure Rules / Schedule 12A allowing document to be Exempt/Confidential (if applicable)				
1.	NONE							